

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/614,753  | 07/08/2003  | Gisele Betra         | 124373              | 6142             |
| 25944 7590 04/24/2009<br>OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC<br>P.O. BOX 320850 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | AKHAVANNIK, HADI    |                  |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850   |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 2624                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 04/24/2009          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/614.753 BETRA, GISELE Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit HADI AKHAVANNIK 2624

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address-

| This application is abandoned in view of:  |
|--|
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on <u>02 July 2008</u>.</li> <li>A reply was received on</li></ol>  |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection  |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).   |
| (d) ☑ No reply has been received.  |
| <ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three month<br/>from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ol>  |
| <ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on(with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice Allowance (PTOL-65).</li> </ul>                         |
| (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.   |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$  |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.   |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of<br>Allowability (PTO-37).   |
| <ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>   |
| (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.  |
| 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.  |
| <ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR<br/>1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>  |
| 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court revie<br>of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.   |
| 7. ☐ The reason(s) below:  |
|  |
| /Bhavesh M Mehta/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624  |
| Beliffings to review under 27 CED 1 127/a) or (b) or requests to withdraw the helding of phandomnost under 27 CED 1 121 should be recognitive field to   |

minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)